

### United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/421,005	10/20/1999	KOTA ARIYAMA	1614.1003	3533	
21171 7	590 09/09/2002				
STAAS & HA		EXAM	EXAMINER		
700 11TH STREET, NW SUITE 500			MYERS, PAUL R		
WASHINGTON, DC 20001			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2181		
			DATE MAILED: 09/09/2002	DATE MAILED: 09/09/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

m

	·	Application	No.	Applicant(s)	<del></del>			
•			-		U			
	Office Action Summary	09/421,005		ARIYAMA, KOTA				
	omoc Action Gammary	Examiner		Art Unit				
	The MAILING DATE of this communicat	Paul R. Mye		2181				
Period fo		ion appears on the t	over sneet with the t	on espondence address				
THE   - Exte after   - If the   - If NC   - Failu   - Any (	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA' sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, I eply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION.  'CFR 1.136(a). In no eventation.  ys, a reply within the statutory period will apply and will about the application.	t, however, may a reply be tir ory minimum of thirty (30) day expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ation to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communic (D) (35 U.S.C. § 133).	≎ation.			
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on <u>20 October 1999</u>	<u>)</u> .					
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)[		on-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
·	on of Claims							
•	4) Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.							
· —	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
-	Claim(s) are subject to restriction on Papers	and/or election red	luirement.					
	The specification is objected to by the Ex	caminer.						
· <u> </u>	The drawing(s) filed on <u>20 October 1999</u>		ed or b) objected to	by the Examiner.				
,—	Applicant may not request that any objection		•	•				
11)□	The proposed drawing correction filed on	n is: a)□ app	oroved b)□ disappro	oved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13)🖂	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	foreign priority unde	er 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	ı)-(d) or (f).				
a)[	☑ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:							
	1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
* S	3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internation see the attached detailed Office action for	nal Bureau (PCT R	ule 17.2(a)).	_	*			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
	☐ The translation of the foreign langua							
Attachmen	-	•						
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper	948) 5		/ (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)	<u> </u>			

Application/Control Number: 09/421,005

Art Unit: 2181

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nakayama et al PN 6,304,894.

In regards to claims 1, 6 and 8: Nakayama et al teaches An information processing apparatus (server) to which an operating apparatus (client) and an apparatus to be operated (hardware attached to server) are connected, said information processing apparatus comprising: an instruction information send part (714) which reads the instruction information (712) from the instruction information storing part (712) in response to a request (708) from said operating apparatus and sends said instruction information (712) to said operating apparatus (client).

Nakayama et al teaches sending the set of callable functions however Nakayama et al does not expressly teach maintaining a cache of the set of callable functions. Nakayama et al teaches obtaining the set of callable functions from the hardware. Official notice is taken that data caches are well known. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to maintain a cache of callable functions in the server because this would have eliminated the delay in obtaining the set on subsequent requests for the callable functions.

In regards to claims 2, 7 and 9: Nakayama et al teaches obtaining said set of callable functions from said hardware.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/421,005

Art Unit: 2181

3

١.

3. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nakayama et al PN 6,304,894 as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Miller PN 6,178,199.

In regards to claim 3: Nakayama et al does not teach the callable functions including the communications type. Miller teaches requesting the communication protocol. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include protocol information because this would have allowed connection with hardware with diverse protocols.

4. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nakayama et al PN 6,304,894 in view of Miller PN 6,178,199 as applied to claim 3 above, and further in view of Worley et al PN 5,481,742.

In regards to claim 4: Miller does not expressly teach converting protocols. Worley teaches converting protocols. It would have been obvious to convert protocols because this would have allowed connection with hardware with diverse protocols.

5. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nakayama et al PN 6,304,894 as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Worley et al PN 5,481,742.

In regards to claim 5: Nakayama et al does not expressly teach the hardware being a printer. Worley teaches the device being a printer. It would have been obvious to connect to a printer because this would have allowed printing.

### Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Application/Control Number: 09/421,005

Art Unit: 2181

Page 4

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul R. Myers whose telephone number is 703 305 9656. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thur 6:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Wong can be reached on 703 305 3477. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703 746 7239 for regular communications and 703 746 7239 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 305 3900.

PRM

August 30, 2002

PAUL R. MYERS
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Paul R. My

# Attachment for PTO-948 (Rev. 03/01, or earlier) 6/18/01

The below text replaces the pre-printed text under the heading, "Information on How to Effect Drawing Changes," on the back of the PTO-948 (Rev. 03/01, or earlier) form.

# INFORMATION ON HOW TO EFFECT DRAWING CHANGES

### 1. Correction of Informalities -- 37 CFR 1.85

New corrected drawings must be filed with the changes incorporated therein Identifying indicia, if provided, should include the title of the invention, inventor's name, and application number, or docket number (if any) if an application number has not been assigned to the application. If this information is provided, it must be placed on the front of each sheet and centered within the top margin. If corrected drawings are required in a Notice of Allowability (PTOL-37), the new drawings MUST be filed within the THREE MONTH shortened statutory period set for reply in the Notice of Allowability. Extensions of time may NOT be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a) or (b) for filing the corrected drawings after the mailing of a Notice of Allowability. The drawings should be filed as a separate paper with a transmittal letter addressed to the Official Draftsperson.

2. Corrections other than Informalities Noted by Draftsperson on form PTO-948.

All changes to the drawings, other than informalities noted by the Draftsperson. MUST be made in the same manner as above except that, normally, a highlighted (preferably red ink) sketch of the changes to be incorporated into the new drawings MUST be approved by the examiner before the application will be allowed. No changes will be permitted to be made, other than correction of informalities, unless the examiner has approved the proposed changes

## Timing of Corrections

Applicant is required to submit the drawing corrections within the time period set in the attached Office communication. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

Failure to take corrective action within the set period will result in ABANDONMENT of the application.